MCDR INSPECTION REPORT

<u>General</u>

| S. | Particulars | Details |
|-----------|---|--|
| N. | | |
| 1 | Name of the Mine, Date of Inspection, Name of | Shree Limestone Mine, 18.10.2019 & Shri |
| | Inspecting officer with Designation & File No. | Rajesh Kumar Das, Senior Assistant |
| | | 76/NGP |
| | Accompanying person | Shri K.C.Sharma(Manager Mines) |
| 2 | Total Lease Area (Ha) with breakup of Non-forest and | Non forest -531.126 Ha. |
| | forest land | 20011014027 |
| 3 | Mine code | 38CHG14027 |
| 4 | IBM Registration Number under rule 45 of MCDR, 1988 | IBM/960/2011 |
| 5 | Name of the lessee, Address, phone, email and fax | M/s Shree Cement Itd |
| | number | POST BOX NO. 33, BANGUR NAGAR, |
| | | BEAWAR, (Raj) |
| 6 | Village | SEMRADIH- BHARUWADIH |
| 7 | Taluka/Mandal | Baloda Bazar |
| 8 | District | Baloda Bazar |
| 9 | Pincode | 493332 |
| 10 | State | CG |
| 11 | Post office | Baloda Bazar |
| 12 | Nearest police station | Suhela |
| 13 | Nearest Railway station | Bhatapara |
| 14 | Date of Grant of Mining Lease | 9 th July 2010 |
| 15 | Date of Execution | 11/01/2011(As per lease deed lease is |
| | | granted for 30 years but as per sction 8A of |
| | | MMDR amended ACT lease may extend |
| | | upto 50 years) |
| 16 | Date of opening of Mine | 17/12/2012 |
| 17 | Date of first Renewal, if applicable and its period & expiry | NA |
| 18 | Date of second Renewal, if applicable and its period & expiry | NA |
| 19 | Date of submission of renewal application if Mining Operations are continuing under deemed extension | NA |
| 20 | Name of the Nominated Owner with Address, phone. | Shri P N Chhangani |
| | email. fax number and date of appointment | M/s. SHREE CEMENT LIMITED |
| | | POST BOX NO. 33, BANGUR NAGAR, |
| | | BEAWAR (Rai) |
| | | $D \cap A \cdot 05 \ 08 \ 2018$ |
| 21 | Name of the Mine Agent with Address phone email | Shri M K Garg |
| <u>~1</u> | fax number and date of appointment | M/s SHREE CEMENT I IMITED |
| | has harder and date of appointment | DOST DOV NO 22 DANCID NACAD |
| | | PLANAD (D-1) |
| | | BEAWAK, (Kaj) |
| | | gargmk(a)shreecementItd.com |
| | | Mob no. 9214337754 |
| 1 | | |

| 22 | Name of the Mines Manager with Address, phone, email, | Shri K C Sharma |
|----|--|---|
| | fax number and date of appointment in mines | M/s Shree Cement ltd |
| | | Village – Semradih |
| | | Tehsil – Baloda Bazar |
| | | Dist. Baloda Bazar |
| | | CG 493332. |
| | | Phone no8349301296 |
| | | sharmakrishnachandra@shreecementltd.com D.O.A:17.19.2012 |
| 23 | Name of the Mining Engineer, Qualification and total | Shri Madhab Sumohan |
| | experience with Address, phone, email, fax number and | M/s Shree Cement ltd |
| | date of appointment in mine | Village – Semradih |
| | | Tehsil – Baloda Bazar |
| | | Dist. Baloda Bazar |
| | | CG 493332. |
| | | Phone no8349301298 |
| | | sumohanm@shreecementItd.com |
| | | D.O.A:04.10.2012 |
| 24 | Whether Geologist and Mining Engineer appointed in | |
| | mines satisfy the rule 42 & carrying out their duties as | Yes |
| | per rule 43 & 44. | |
| 25 | Date of Approval of Mining Plan/Modified Mining Plan | Modified mining Plan, Letter No. |
| | with five-year period and specific condition in approval | BALODABA/CHUP/KHA YO-1125/2017/ |
| | letter, if any. | Raipur/ Dated 30.11.17 From 2017-18to 31 st |
| 26 | | March 2020 |
| 26 | Date of Approval of Scheme of Mining/Modified | NIA |
| | Scheme of Mining with five-year period and specific | NA |
| 27 | Condition in approval letter, if any. | I imagene |
| 2/ | Initial(s) granied in lease and proved for mining | Linestone |
| 28 | Catagories (Failly Machanized, Others on Mariael) | Upencast E-ll-2 Machanicad |
| 29 | Category (Fully Mechanised, Others or Manual) | Fully Mechanised |
| 30 | Captive/Non Captive, Environmental Clearence | Captive, 8.6 million I ons |

Scientific Mining: Compliance of proposals of approved mining plan/scheme of mining. (Duplication of information in existing TMIS data sheets and draft write up has been avoided.)

Exploration

| S.N. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|------|---|---|---------------------|--|
| 1a | Backlog of previous year | No proposal | Nil | |
| 1b | Exploration over lease area for Geological axis 1 or 2. | NA | Nil | Whole ML area has been explored on G1 level |
| 1c | Exploration Agency & Expenditure in lakh Rupees during the year | NA | NA | |
| 1d | Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2 | NA | No exploration done | |
| 1e | Balance reserves as on 01.04.2019(million tons) | 196.4 | 200.5 | |
| 1f | General remarks of inspecting officer on geology, exploration | The Limestone deposit belongs to Chandi limestone formation of Raipur group of Chhattisgarh supergroup. The | | |

| etc. | deposit is almost flat deposit has a general strike of NE-SW. |
|------|---|
| | The deposit is massive, hard and compact in nature. The |
| | mineralized area is broadly explored in G1. |

Development

| S.N. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|----------|------------------------------------|---|--------------------------|-----------------|
| 2a | Location of development w.r.t. | Block no3 | Block no3 | |
| | lease area | | | |
| 2b | Separate benches in topsoil, | Separate benches in | Separate benches | |
| | overburden and mineral (Rule | topsoil, overburden | in topsoil, | |
| | 15) | and mineral has been | overburden and | |
| | | formed (Rule 15) | mineral has been | |
| | | OB bench-12M | formed (Rule 15) | |
| | | | OB bench-12M | |
| 2c | Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio | 1:0.28 | 1:0.28 | |
| 2d | Quantity of topsoil generation in | 520000 m^3 | 214510 m ³ | |
| | m ³ | 550000 III | /134068T | |
| 2e | Quantity of overburden | | | 1742910T |
| | generation in m ³ | 2260000T | 1742010T | 1075543Cub M |
| | | 23000001 1210000Cub M | 1/429101 1075542Cub M | Total Qty – |
| | | 1310000Cub Ivi | 1075545Cu0 Ivi | topsoil + waste |
| | | | | = 1876968T |
| 2f | General remarks of inspecting | The OB/IB and generation of top soil is more as the top | | |
| | officer on development of pit | benches intercalation is more but development is broadly in | | |
| | w.r.t. type of deposit etc. | order. | | |
| T | • • • • | | | |

Exploitation

| S.N. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|------|---|-------------------------------|---|--|
| 3a | Number of pits proposed for production | 2 | 2 | |
| 3b | Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed | 10720000 T | 6572418 T | (CTO 8600000T) |
| 3c | Recovery of salable/usable mineral from ROM production | 100% | 92-95% | Top benches material is screen and therefore screen reject generation is 5- 8% |
| 3d | Quantity of mineral reject generation | Not specifically mentioned | The quantity is included in waste as reported value of CaO% is 25% | |
| 3e | Grade of mineral reject generation and threshold value declared | NA | NA | |
| 3f | Quantity of sub-grade mineral generation | Nil | Nil | |
| 3g | Grade of sub-grade mineral generation | NA | NA | |
| 3h | Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM | NA | NA | |
| 3i | Any analysis or beneficiation | NA | NA | |

| | study proposed & carried out for sub-grade mineral and reject | | | |
|----|---|---|---|--|
| 3j | Provision of drilling & blasting in mineral benches | Yes | yes | Drilling m/c 165mm dia |
| 3k | Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches | Yes | As per Proposal | Shovel 6 cum capacity,Dumpe r 55 tons,loader 3.3cum,Moto Grader,Road Roller |
| 31 | Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM | YES Max -ht - 12M OB -12M | YES Max -ht - 12M OB - 7M | |
| 3m | Total area covered under excavation/pits | 79.6 hact | 73.3 hact | |
| 3n | Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year | 1:0.28 | Broadly as per proposal | |
| 30 | Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year | Area up to MP period up to March 2020 Area under mining – 144 Storage of Top soil – 23.8 Waste Dump – 27.6 Mineral Storage – 1.7 Infrastructure- 0.8 Roads -4.5 Township -22 Total -224.4(As per approved document) | Area under used up to March 2019 Open cast working – 73.3 Waste Disposal – 21.5 Plant /Building /Road- 3.4 Top soil – 11.3 (used for plantation) Plantation – 49.10 (Total plantation – 60.4 Ha) Total Area – 158.6 Hact | |
| 3p | Production of ROM mineral during last five-year period, as applicable(million tons) | 2014-15: 4.80 2015-16: 4.30 2016-17: 4.30 2017-18: 5.82 2018-19: 10.72 | 2014-15: 0.00 2015-16: 1.97 2016-17: 2.99 2017-18: 4.11 2018-19: 6.57 | |
| 3q | General remarks of inspecting officer on method of mining etc. | The method of mining i with shovel Dumper co blasting. | is by opencast method mbination and deep h | l using HEMM ole drilling and |

Solid Waste Management-Dumping

| S.N. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|------|------------------------------|---------------|---------------------|---------|
| 4a | Separate dumping of l, OB & | Dump -1 | Dump -1 | |
| | mineral reject (Rule 32, 33) | W2-W4.5 | W2-W4.5 | |
| | | S15-S17 | S15-S17 | |
| | | Dump-2 | Dump-2 | |
| | | S 4.5-S 6.5 & | S 4.5-S 6 & | |
| | | W 3.0-W 5.0 | W 3.0-W 5.0 and | |
| | | | in addition mineral | |

| | | | rejects dump and other area also dumping done. |
|----|---|--|--|
| 4b | Location of topsoil, | 7.5M along lease boundary | 7.5M along lease boundary and some top soil dump 10- 15 meter inside mine boundary |
| 4c | Number of dumps within lease area and outside lease area | 2 nos within ML & it is active | 2 nos within ML & it is active |
| 4d | Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16) | Dumps which are inside UPL are temporary in nature | Dumps which are inside UPL are temporary in nature |
| 4e | Number of active & alive dumps | 2 nos | 2 nos |
| 4f | Number of dead dumps | NIL | NIL |
| 4g | Number of dumps stabilished | NA | NA |
| 4h | Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there | Where ever required | Retaining & garland drain provided where ever required |
| 4i | Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dump | 700m | 700m |
| 4j | Number of settling ponds | 1nos | 1 nos |
| 4k | Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management | The waste generation is more and as the mine is new top soil and OB/IB generation is there and dump management is not appropriate therefore violation pointed out. | |

Solid Waste Management-Backfilling

| S.N. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|------|--|--------------------|--|------------------|
| 5a | Status on part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling | NA | Nil | |
| 5b | Area under backfilling of mined out area | NA | Nil | |
| 5c | Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mined out area (Rule 32) | Yes | Top soil used for plantation and separately stacked | |
| 5d | Total area fully reclaimed & rehabilitated | NA | Nil | |
| 5e | General remarks of inspecting officer on backfilling, reclamation etc | The mine is new an | d area not matured f | or back filling. |

Progressive Mine Closure Plan

| S.N. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|------|--------------------------------|----------------------|------------------|---------|
| 6a | Whether Annual report on | Annual report on | Annual report on | |
| | PMCP submitted on time and | PMCP submitted on | PMCP submitted | |
| | correctly - Rule 23E(2). | time and correctly - | on time and | |
| | Details should be given in the | Rule 23E(2) | correctly - Rule | |

| | format as given in Annexure- 20. | | 23E(2) | |
|----|---|---|--|--|
| 6b | Management of worked/mined out benches i) Area available for rehabilitation (ha) ii) Afforestation done (ha) iii)No. of saplings planted during the year iv)Cumulative no. of plants v) Any other specific method of rehabilitation vi)Cost incurred on watch & care during the year | NA | NA | |
| 6c | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling i) Voids available for backfilling (L *B * D) ii) Void filled by waste/tailings iii)Afforestation on the backfilled area iv)Rehabilitation by making water reservoir v) Any other specific means | NA | NA | |
| 6d | Compliance of Rehabilitation of waste land within lease i) Afforestation ii) Area rehabilitated (ha) iii) Method of rehabilitation | 15 hect Green belt | @1200plants/hect 15.3 Hect (18059Nos plants) Green belt | |
| 6e | Compliance of Environmental monitoring (core zone & buffer zone) | 2nos of AQMS in core Zone, 2 nos AQMS in buffer zone | 2nos of AQMS in core Zone, 2 nos AQMS in buffer zone 2 nos of Peizo meter with on line telemeter for water level indicator | |
| 6f | General remarks of inspecting officer on PMCP compliance & progressive closure operations | PMCP proposal are bro | oadly implemented | |

Mineral Conservation

| S.N. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|------|--|---------------|--------------|------------------------|
| 7a | ROM Mineral dispatch or grade- wise sorting within lease area | 10.72millionT | 6.57MillionT | CTO was 8.6MillionT |
| 7b | Method of grade-wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical | NA | NA | |

| 7c | Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines | NA | NA | |
|----|--|--|----|--|
| 7d | Any beneficiation process at mines | NA | NA | |
| 7e | General remarks of inspecting | No beneficiation done except the low grade blend with high | | |
| | officer on Mineral conservation & | grade limestone to get desirable grade. | | |
| | beneficiation issues | | | |

Environment

| S.N. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| 8a | Separate removal and utilization | Separate removal | Separate removal | |
| | of topsoil (Rule 32) | of top soil | of top soil | |
| 8b | Concurrent use or storage of | storage of topsoil | storage of topsoil | |
| | topsoil | and use for | and Use for | |
| | | plantation | plantation | |
| 8c | Separate dumps for overburden, | Separate dumps | There is no reject | |
| | waste rock, rejects and fines | for overburden, | i liele is no reject | |
| | (Rule 33) | waste rock, rejects | at present | |
| 8d | Use of overburden, waste rock, | | | |
| | rejects and fines dumps for | NT A | NTA | |
| | restoring the land to its original | INA | INA | |
| | use | | | |
| 8e | Phased restoration, reclamation | | | |
| | and rehabilitation of lands | NA | NA | |
| | affected by mining operations | INA | INA | |
| | (Pits, dumps etc) | | | |
| 8f | Baseline information on | | | |
| | existence of plantation & | Droposal for your | 2018-19 = | Outside ML 23250 |
| | additional plantation done (Rule | | 18059Nos | Nos and |
| | 41) | 2013-10 9000 | | cumulative |
| | | 2010-179000 | Cumulative | 162436Nos |
| | | 2017-18 -9600 | plantation 35657 | Nos plant out side |
| | | 2018-19 - 18000 | nos + 18059 = | of ML Harihvar CG |
| | | | 53716Nos | plant area |
| 8g | Survival rate | | 89% | 88% |
| 8h | Water sprinkling on roads to | | 2 nos Water | |
| | control airborne dust | 2 nos Water | sprinkler | |
| | | sprinkler provided | provided to | |
| | | to control airborne | control airborne | |
| | | dust | dust | |
| 8i | General remarks of inspecting | No adverse impact of | observed on aestheti | c beauty in and |
| | officer on aesthetic beauty in and | around mine. | | - |
| | around mines area | | | |
| Compliance of Rule 45 | | | | |

| S.N. | Item | COMMENTS | | Remarks |
|------|---------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| 9a | Status of submission of Monthly | AR & MR upto date | | |
| | and Annual returns | | | |
| S.N. | Item | Details GIVEN in | Observation of I/Officer | Remarks |
| | | A.R. | | |
| 9b | Scrutiny of Annual return for | Yes given | Shri Madhab Sumohan, | |
| | information on Mining Engineer, | - | Mining Engineer, Shri | |
| | Geologist and Manager | | K.C.Sharma, Manager | |
| | | | and Shri Arun Soni, | |

| | | | Geologist |
|----|---|---|--|
| 9c | Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc. | Yes given | Open cast working – 73.3 Waste Disposal – 21.5 Plant /Building /Road- 3.4 Plantation – 49.10 (Top Soil + 1 plantation – 60.4 Ha) |
| 9d | Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation | Yes given | Within lease area 18059 nos saplings reported. |
| 9e | Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade & quantity) | Nil | screening is done for mostly top benches but as reported the screen reject is below threshold value therefore it is considered as waste |
| 9f | Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore | Nil | |
| 9g | Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price & production cost | Ex-mine price and cost of production is 237.28 Rs | Both are same as limestone is used for captive purpose. |
| 9i | Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets | Rs 296792177/- reported | |
| 9k | Scrutiny of Annual return on mining machineries | Yes given | As per proposal shovel, Dumper, Drill m/c, Dozer, Water tanker |

Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of earlier violation pointed out:- The present inspection carried out for processing of Review of Mining Plan and during the present inspection no serious violation pointed out and the deviation pointed out during earlier inspection is broadly complied or incorporate the proposal in the present document.

(Rajesh Kumar Das, Senior Assistant Controller of Mines)